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ABSTRACT
The present study is a prospective study to evaluate the role of steroids in combination with Antihistamine in the form of nasal spray used in selective patients suffering from Nasal polyposis prior to surgical procedure. Comparative efficacy of steroid nasal spray versus combination of steroid with antihistamine nasal spray in nasal polyposis is also evaluated. The present Study includes 60 patients with Nasal polyposis in nose and Para-nasal sinuses treated in the department of ENT, Government General Hospital attached to Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool. This Study was conducted for a period of 2 years from June 2010- November 2012.
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INTRODUCTION
Nasal polyposis is a disease entity characterized by development of benign growths arising either from the nasal mucosa or from any of the Para-nasal sinuses alone or in combination. These out growths are associated with oedema, fibrosis, reduced vascularization, decreased number of glands and nerve endings, and damaged epithelium. The cause of nasal polyposis is not completely understood; however, it is frequently associated with asthma and intolerance to aspirin. The condition is characterized by eosinophil inflammation: approximately 65% to 90% of polyps are classified histologically as eosinophilic. The symptoms of nasal polyposis include nasal obstruction and watery discharge, and impairment of sense of smell. The objectives for the management of the condition include elimination or reduction in the size of polyps followed by reestablishment of an open nasal airway and nasal breathing, improvement or restoration of sense of smell, and ultimately prevention of polyp recurrence. In nasal polyposis, topical nasal cortico steroids are considered the medical treatment of choice and several different intranasal cortico steroids in combination with antihistamines have been investigated with regard to both effect on symptoms and reduction in polyp size. These benefits maybe attributed, at least in part, to the effect of topical corticosteroids on decreasing eosinophilic infiltration in the nasal mucosa. The objective of the present study is to evaluate the comparative efficacy of local nasal steroids alone and in combination with an antihistamine.

MATERIAL & METHODS:
This study is a prospective, comparative, study. 60 patients are selected for this study among 7054 patients who attended the Outpatient department with complaints related to nose and Para-nasal diseases constituting to 0.85%. All the patients are subjected to
detailed history taking, clinical examination, endoscopic examination, radiological investigation, counselling for pre-operative medical management and regular follow-up after written consent. The Data was collected in a standard format for calculation and ready reckoning. Digestive Normal Endoscopy and endoscopic grading of polyps is done. Patients are given a simple questionnaire relating to symptoms in order to grade the severity of complaints which are depicted in a chart for easy gradation and post treatment evaluation. All patients were subjected to Digestive Normal Endoscopy and endoscopic grading of nasal polypi every month. In the present study the follow up period is for 6 months.

All patients were then required to fill the symptom chart. In Group I 30 patients were administered Steroid (Fluticasone propionate) in combination with an Antihistamine (Azelaistine) nasal spray over a period of 6 months. In Group II patients were given Steroid (Fluticasone propionate) nasal spray alone. Both the groups were examined and evaluated symptom wise at monthly intervals.

In the study following inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied

Inclusion criteria:
*age 18 – 55 years
*all socio economic status
*both sexes

Exclusion criteria
*Antro- choanal polyps
*Acute sinusitis
*Previously operated cases (making endoscopic staging difficult)

Investigations like Haemoglobin, total count, differential count, bleeding time, clotting time, ESR, platelet count, urine albumin & sugar X-ray Para-nasal sinuses, CT scan of Para-nasal sinuses, Diagnostic nasal endoscopy (DNE), were done wherever necessary.

**OBSERVATIONS:**

A total number of 60 patients were included in the present study conducted from June 2010 to November 2012. 30348 patients attended the ENT out Patient Department in government general hospital, Kurnool. Among them 7054 patients presented with symptoms related to nose. 60 patients diagnosed to have normal polyposis among the 7054 were selected randomly for our study which amounts to be 0.85%.

The selected cases were divided randomly into two groups having 30 subjects each. Group I consists of 70% of males (n=21) & 30% of females (n=09); group II consists of 80% of males (n=24) and 20% of females (n=06); (Table III).

Group I consists of 40% within 20-30- years of age, 36.66% within 31-40 of age 20% are from 41-50 years of age group and 3.33% above 50 years of age group; (Table IV)

Group II consists of 50% within 20-30- years of age, 36.66% within 31-40 of age 10% are from 41-50 years of age group and 3.33% above 50 years. (Table IV)

Symptoms: GROUP I

In group I 26.6% of patients presented with nasal obstruction of mild degree. It is in moderate degree in 23.3% of cases and 50% of patients are having severe degree of nasal obstruction. 33.6% of patients presented with nasal discharge of mild degree, 403.3% of the patients presented with moderate degree and 20% had severe degree. Excessive sneezing is elicited in 30% of patients which is of mild degree, moderate degree of sneezing in 30% of patients and 30% of patients are having severe degree of sneezing. Headache was the presenting complaint in 53.3% of patients. 40% of patients had disturbances of smell. (Table I)
GROUP II

In this group 43.3% of patients had severe nasal obstruction, 30% of patients had mild nasal obstruction and 26.6% had in moderate degrees. Mild degree of nasal discharge was present in 43.3% of patients, moderate degree in 30% and 26.6% of patients had severe degree of nasal discharge. Excessive sneezing & watering from nose is complained by 26.6% of patients which is of mild degree. It is of moderate degree in 33.3% of patients. Severe degree of excessive sneezing was found in 40% of the patients. Headache was presented in 33.3% of patients. Loss of sense of smell was in 43.3% of the patients. (Table I)

GRADING OF POLYPI PRIOR TO MEDICAL TREATMENT - GROUP I; (Table II)

In group I 33.3% were found to be of Grade I, 30% of the patients are found to have Grade II and 36.6% of them are of Grade III.

GRADING OF POLYPI PRIOR TO MEDICAL TREATMENT - GROUP II; (Table II)

In group II patients Grade I type of Polypi were found in 30%. 26.6% of patients were having polyps of Grade 2 and Grade III severity were seen in 43.3% of patients.

X RAY FINDINGS

In group I 26 (86.6%) patients were found to have haziness of maxillary antrum on plain x ray PNS, whereas 21 (70%) Patients of group II had haziness of maxillary antrum.

CT SCAN FINDINGS

The CT scan analysis showed in Group I 26(86.6%) patients had positive CT scan findings where as it was 21(70%) patients belonging to Group II. Applying the Lunds system of CT scan scoring it was found that the patients of group I who had positive CT scan findings revealed a score of 0-6 in 20(66.6%). In 10(33.3%) patients the score was of 6-12. In group II the CT scan scoring was between 0-6 in 17(56.6%) patients, whereas the score of 6-12 was observed in 14(46.6%) patients.

All the patients are now counselled for surgery with initial treatment with Local Steroid spray either alone or in combination with an antihistamine (Azelastine). Group I patients are prescribed a combination of Azelastine with Fluticasone. Group II patients are prescribed with a nasal spray containing only a steroid (Fluticasone).

They are advised to use the spray with a single sniff in each nostril twice a day. Prior use of Local nasal decongestant is advised in those patients with Grade II and Grade III Polypi. These sprays come with a fixed delivery of dose usually the steroid delivered is 75mcg with each sniff. Patients of both the groups are prescribed a common medication consisting of an Antibiotic usually Azithromycin 500 mg for a period of 6 days, Systemic steroid of Methyl-prednisone 4mg daily twice for a period of 1 week and an antihistamine usually Levocetrizine 10/5mg daily. The antihistamine is continued throughout the period of the medical management and it is also advised even after surgery.

The patients are advised to use the spray as per the instruction given to them for one month. They are given a demonstration on the correct usage of the prescribed spray. All the patients are instructed to take an immediate consultation if any adverse reactions like itching, burning or discomfort occurs while using the spray.

The patients were reviewed after one month. A thorough history taking and clinical examination is done. They are subjected to diagnostic nasal endoscopy and Polypi were graded again.

(Table V) In group I at the end of the study on evaluation of grading of polypi, it was observed that 5 patients out of 11 patients of grade III had regression of
polypi. 6 patients out of 9 with grade II polypi showed regression. 6 out of 10 Patients of grade I showed regression. (Table VI) Likewise in group II 6 out of 13 patients of grade III showed regression of polypi. 3 out of 8 patients of grade II showed regression whereas all the 4 out of 9 patients of grade I showed regression.

In regard to the symptom of nasal obstruction among the patients in group I, 8 patients out of 15 with severe obstruction experienced relief, 3 out of 7 patients of moderate degree of obstruction responded with relief. All the 8 patients with mild obstruction responded with relief (Table V). In group II 6 out of 13 Patients with severe nasal obstruction, 2 out of 8 patients of moderate obstruction and 5 out of 9 patients of mild severity replied in their questionnaire as to have near total relief of nasal obstruction ( TableVI). In group I subjective evaluation at the end of the study in relation to the symptom of excessive sneezing, 8 out of 9 patients of mild degree, 7 out of 12 patients of moderate degree and 4 out of 9 patients of severe grade responded near total relief. In group II 3 out of 8 patients of mild degree, 3 out of 10 patients of moderate degree and 5 out of 12 patients of severe grade of excessive sneezing, responded near total relief.

(Table V)In group I subjective evaluation at the end of the study in relation to the symptom of Rhinorrhoea, all the 6 patients out of 11 with mild degree, 6 out of 13 patients of moderate degree and 4 out of 6 patients of severe grade responded near total relief. (Table VI) In group II 4 out of 13 patients of mild degree, 3 out of 9 patients of moderate degree and 2 out of 8 patients of severe grade of Rhinorrhoea, responded near total relief.

**DISCUSSION:**

Nasal polyposis is a common disease affecting up to 4% percent of the population. Their aetiology remains unclear, but they are known to have associations with Nasal allergy, asthma, infection, cystic fibrosis, and aspirin sensitivity. They present with nasal obstruction, anosmia, rhinorrhoea, post nasal drip, and less commonly facial pain. Clinical examination reveals single or multiple grey polypoid masses in the nasal cavity. Computerized tomography allows evaluation of the extent of the disease and is essential if surgical treatment is to be considered. Management of polyposis involves a combination of medical therapy and surgery. An association between polyposis and fungal cultures has been established for many years. Further reports linked this finding with allergic Bronchopulmonary aspergillosis. This recognition led to the term ‘allergic fungal Sinusitis’.

In the general population, the prevalence of NP is considered to be around 4%. In cadaveric studies, this prevalence has been shown to be as high as 40%. They predominantly affect adults and usually present in patients older than 20. There is at least a 2:1 male to female preponderance. Up to a third of NP patients have asthma, whereas polyps are only found in 7% of asthmatics.

In the present study the incidence was 0.85% of the 7054 patients attending for nasal complaints to the outpatient department. The prevalence of nasal polyposis in general population in our study is 3.32%. Male to female preponderance of nasal polypi in our study is 3:1. Plain X-rays are insensitive and of no value in the diagnosis of NP but they may show opacification of the affected sinuses. In our study an average of 77.5 % of both the groups of patients showed haziness of maxillary antrum on plain x ray PNS.

A range of staging systems for CT scanning have been described, the most commonly used being the Lund-Mackay system. This system relies on a score of 0–2 dependent on the absence, partial, or complete opacification of each sinus system and of the vital osteo-meatal complex deriving a maximum score of 12 per side. By applying Lunds classification for CT Scan...
Table I: Symptoms in Group I & II
ENDOSCOPIC STAGING OF NASAL POLYPS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRADE</th>
<th>GROUP I</th>
<th>GROUP 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II: Grading of Polypi Group I & Group II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GROUP I</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>GROUP II</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MALES</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>MALES</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMALES</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>FEMALES</td>
<td>05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III: Sex distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age group</th>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20-30 years</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50 years</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50 years</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV: Age distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group I</th>
<th>Group II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartile</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V: Group I Analysis of the clinical data before and after medical treatment

| Observation | Before | After | Difference | % | P
|-------------|--------|-------|------------|---|---
| Nasal Obstruction |       |       |            |   |   |
| Mid         | 9     | 1     | 44.44%     | 1.62 | 0.09 |
| Highest     | 12    | 4     | 44.44%     | 1.62 | 0.09 |
| Lowest      | 3     | 4     | 44.44%     | 1.62 | 0.09 |
| Group       | 0     | 3     | 44.44%     | 1.62 | 0.09 |
| Group III  | 12    | 4     | 44.44%     | 1.62 | 0.09 |

Table VI: Group II Analysis of the clinical data before and after medical treatment

| Observation | Before | After | Difference | % | P
|-------------|--------|-------|------------|---|---
| Nasal Obstruction |       |       |            |   |   |
| Mid         | 8     | 3     | 43.75%     | 2.37 | 0.28 |
| Highest     | 12    | 3     | 43.75%     | 2.37 | 0.28 |
| Lowest      | 3     | 4     | 43.75%     | 2.37 | 0.28 |
| Group       | 12    | 3     | 43.75%     | 2.37 | 0.28 |
| Group III  | 12    | 3     | 43.75%     | 2.37 | 0.28 |
findings in our study it was found that 2/3rd of patients had a score less than 6, and a score of greater than 6 was found in 1/3rd of study population with positive CT Scan findings.

Therapy for NP involves a combination of observation, medical, and surgical treatments depending on individual case assessment. In general, patients are treated medically in the primary care setting before consideration of surgical procedures by an otolaryngologist. The aims of treatment are to eliminate or significantly reduce the size of the NP resulting in relief of nasal obstruction, nasal discharge, headache, improvement in sinus drainage, restoration of olfaction and taste.

Azelastine was used in the nasal spray form in combination with fluticasone propionate. Azelastine is more than just an anti-histamine. It exhibits a very fast and long-acting effect based on a triple mode of action, with anti-inflammatory and mast cell stabilizing properties in addition to its anti-allergic effects. For example, Azelastine inhibits the activation of cultured mast cells and release of interleukin (IL)-6, tryptase, and histamine.

Ratner PH1, Hampel F, Van Bavel J, Amar NJ, Daffary P, Wheeler W & Sacks H. conducted a study of 151 patients with moderate to severe nasal symptoms, randomized to treatment with Azelastine and fluticasone, fluticasone nasal spray alone and Azelastine nasal spray alone, All 3 groups had statistically significant (P < .001) improvements from their baseline TNSS after 2 weeks of treatment. The TNSS improved 27.1% with fluticasone nasal spray, 24.8% with Azelastine nasal spray, and 37.9% with the 2 agents in combination (P < .05 vs either agent alone). All 3 treatments were well tolerated.

Quoting from the Journal Asthma and Allergy 2010 3: 19-28; “Seasonal allergic rhinitis: fluticasone propionate nasal spray and fluticasone furoate therapy evaluated”; the excellent pharmaco-dynamic and pharmacokinetic properties along with safety have given FP a key position. This impressive therapeutic and safety profile is a reflection of its rapid and extensive uptake by airway tissue, marked affinity for the GR and almost undetectable systemic bioavailability. The propionate ester side chain renders FP highly lipophilic. Such lipo-philicity is a key determinant of its pharmacological profile and allows the drug to bind tissue rapidly and strongly with more prolonged retention than more hydrophilic molecules such as budesonide and hydrocortisone.

Azelastine nasal spray in combination with fluticasone propionate nasal spray provided roughly 40% more relief in patients with allergy to Texas mountain cedar compared with fluticasone nasal spray alone, investigators reported here at the 2006 Annual Meeting of the American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology (ACAAI). The combination therapy improved symptoms within 24 hours, with increasing improvement seen during the entire 14-day duration of the trial, said investigator Paul Ratner, Sylvana Research Associates, San Antonio, Texas.

In our study incidence of nasal obstruction has reduced by 65.4% in group I where a combination of FP steroid and antihistamine was used compared to 42.9% in group II where steroid FP alone was used.

Excessive sneezing has reduced by 63.86% in group I compared to 36.3% in group II. Incidence of headaches was 5.8% for patients receiving both nasal sprays, and about 4% for patient who received either agent alone. No other adverse events were reported by more than 1 patient in any treatment group, and no patients discontinued due to adverse events during the trial.

Djupesland PG1, Vickoval, Little et al in their study opined that little information exists on the impact of baseline polyp size and previous nasal surgery on the efficacy of intranasal steroids. Their study was designed

to investigate whether baseline polyp size and previous nasal surgery influence the efficacy of an intranasal steroid delivered with a novel device. It was reported that a highly significant and progressive reduction in summed polyp size was observed for Opt-FP versus placebo in all three polyp size subgroups \( p < 0.001 \).\(^3\)

Djupesland PG, Vickova I, Hewson G. FESS, in their study concluded that Symptoms of nasal obstruction, rhinorhoea, postnasal drip, and loss of smell were reduced in the FPND group \( P < .05 \). Peak nasal inspiratory flow scores increased significantly \( P < .01 \). Polyp volume decreased in the FPND group \( P < .05 \), and computed tomographic scores improved in both groups \( P < .05 \).\(^4\)

In the present study the Group I patients where Fluticasone propionate in combination with Azelastine was used showed better results in terms with improvement in Nasal obstruction, Rhinorrhoea, Excessive sneezing and Regression of polypi, when compared to the Group II patients. Pearson statistical analysis is applied to calculate the correlation between these two groups, it showed \( r^2 \) 0.75; nasal obstruction for Group I and \( r^2 \)0.62 for group II. Similarly the \( r^2 \) for Rhinorrhoea, Excessive sneezing and regression of Polypi was 0.99, 0.5 and 0.98 respectively for group I and 0.98, 0.87 and 0.98 for group II, which is significant. (A value more than 0.37 is termed as significant).

Student T test to analyse statistical significance between the 2 groups in the treatment of Nasal Polyposis showed a significant difference in their role as a pre-operative mode to control the polyposis. The \( p \) value was 0.06 for nasal obstruction, 0.015 for Rhinorrhoea, and 0.034 for Excessive sneezing and 0.003 for regression of polypi in group I. similarly for group II the values are 0.069, 0.035, 0.031 and 0.039. (\( p \) value less than 0.06 is significant).

As Azelastine and Fluticasone propionate in combination is effective as a pre-operative intra nasal spray to reduce the size of the polypi which will help in FESS.

**CONCLUSIONS:**

Efficacy of using a combination of a local steroid and an antihistamine in the medical management of Nasal polyposis is searched in the literature and it is found that not many studies are forth coming; hence this study though a small group is observed gives an insight to the usage of Combination nasal spray of Fluticasone and Azelastine. But it needs further elaborate and in depth probing. In nasal polyposis, topical nasal corticosteroids in combination with an antihistamine can be considered as the medical treatment of choice. Both the medications that were used in the study are well tolerated and no side effects have been reported by the study population. In this study, significant decrease in polyp size and alleviation of symptoms like nasal obstruction, nasal discharge and excessive sneezing are observed in both the groups. However, approximately 2/3rd of patients using combination of local steroid and anti-histamine experienced a significant change in the polyp size and relief of symptoms compared to 1/3rd of patients using Local nasal steroid alone. Statistical significance suggests that the combination of nasal steroid with antihistamine is superior to local nasal steroid spray alone in reducing the poly size; \( p \) value <0.003. Antihistamine nasal spray in combination provides a good therapeutic benefit for patients with nasal polyposis compared with therapy with local steroid alone.
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